

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATIS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patout and Trademark Office Address. COMMESSIONER OF FATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Weshington D.C. 20201 www.upfpc.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2002

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/724,538	11/28/2000	Daniel D. Shoemaker	9301-123	7044	
20000	590 03/26/2002 D EDMONDS		EXAM	INER	
1155 AVENUI	OF THE AMERICAS NY 100362711		LU, FRANK	WEI MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1634	0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/724,538	SHOEMAKER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Frank Lu	1634					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Statements of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled. - If the period for reply specified above, the maintain statement of the provisions of the							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) Th	is action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Constitution of the condition of t							
Disposition of Claims 4) ◯ Claim(s) 1-36,45,46,86-90,157-183 and 212-279 is/are pending in the application.							
4)(X) Claim(s) 1-36,45,46,86-90,157-183 and 212-279 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-36,45,46,86-90,157-183 and 212-279 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summa 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: Detailed A	I Patent Application (P					

Application/Control Number: 09/724,538

Art Unit: 1634

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's response to the office action filed on January 9, 20002 has been entered as Paper No:7. The claims pending in this application are claims 1-36, 45, 46, 86-90, 157-183, and 212-279.

Election/Restriction

- Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-36, 45, 46, 86-90, 157-183, 212, and 213 in
 Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Upon review elected claims and new added claims 214-279, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) human (claims 45, 87, 235, 247, and 259)
- (2) plant (claims 46, 88, 236, 248, and 260)
- (3) fungus (claims 212, 213, and 262)

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are claims 1-36, 88-90, 157-183, 214-234, 237-246, 249-258, 261, and 263-279.

Application/Control Number: 09/724,538

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
- (1) perturbation is exposure to a drug (claims 265, 268, 271, 274, and 277)
- (2) perturbation is a genetic mutation (claims 266, 269, 272, 275, and 278)
- perturbation comprises mutation of one or more genes and exposure to a drug (claims 267, 270, 273, 276, and 279)

Application/Control Number: 09/724,538

Art Unit: 1634

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, generic claims are 1-36, 45, 46, 86-90, 157-183, and 212-264.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any

Art Unit: 1634

amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

6. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1988), 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993), and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993)(See 37 CAR § 1.6(d)). The CM Fax Center number is either (703) 308-4242 or (703) 305-3014.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Lu, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-1270. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9 A.M. to 5 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, W. Gary Jones, can be reached on (703) 308-1152.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the patent Analyst of the Art Unit, Ms. Chantae Dessau, whose telephone number is

(703) 605-1237.

Frank Lu

March 24, 2002